Unitei	D STATES DISTRIC	T COURT	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	District of	Nebraska	DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			2010 MAR 18 PM 2: 35
v.	ORDER (OF DETENTION PEN	NDING TRIAL
PEDRO MORALES	Case Number	r: 8:04cr164	OFFICE OF THE CLERK
Defendant			
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S. detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	en held. I conclude that the fo	llowing facts require the
	Part I—Findings of Fact		
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense de			
or local offense that would have been a federal acrime of violence as defined in 18 U.3		s to rederal jurisdiction had exis	sted that is
an offense for which the maximum sent			
an offense for which a maximum term of	of imprisonment of ten years or more is	prescribed in	
a falony that was committed after the de	lefendant had been convicted of two or n	more prior federal offenses desc	oribed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state		note prior redetal offenses desc	in is o.s.e.
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was co	ommitted while the defendant was on rel		
(3) A period of not more than five years has ela	apsed since the date of conviction	release of the defendant	from imprisonment
for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a re	huttable presumption that no condition	or combination of conditions u	vill reasonably assure the
(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a restafety of (an) other person(s) and the comm			
	Alternative Findings (A)		
X (1) There is probable cause to believe that the c	defendant has committed an offense		
	nment of ten years or more is prescribed	in 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumpt	tion established by finding 1 that no con-	dition or combination of conditi	one will reasonably accure
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumpt the appearance of the defendant as required		ntion of combination of conditi	ons will leasonably assure
	Alternative Findings (B)		
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant wi (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant wi	ill not appear.		
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant wi	ill endanger the safety of another person	or the community.	

Part II	-Written Statement of Reasons fo	T Detention	
I find that the credible testimony and information			evidence
derance of the evidence that	out-in-the are the seeming to the results of	, <u> </u>	_ upropon
1/-+-	Intim Maring	- Whited	
UXX	M. CO Marine	vaco (
	rt III—Directions Regarding Dete		
The defendant is committed to the custody of the A to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or s	Attorney General or his designated repres	sentative for confinement in a co	rrections facility separate,
reasonable opportunity for private consultation with	defense counsel. On order of a court of	of the United States or on requ	est of an attorney for the
Government, the person in charge of the corrections in connection with a court proceeding.	facility shall deliver the defendant to the	e United States marshal for the	purpose of an appearance
in connection with a court proceeding.			
March 18, 2010	_1/	Charril D. Zwart	
March 18, 2010 Date		Cheryl R. Zwart ure of Judicial Officer	
	_	wart, U.S. Magistrate Judge	
-		d Title of Judicial Officer	

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).